Application No.: 10/767,326

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

After the foregoing Amendment, claims 35-45 are currently pending in this

application. Claims 35-45 are amended.

Claim Objections

The Examiner objected to claims 31-41 because the numbering of claims is

not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the

claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. In view of the foregoing

amendments, the withdrawal of the objection to the claims is respectfully requested.

Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 31-41 and 36-39 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of

nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims

14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,542,481. A Terminal Disclaimer is submitted herewith to

overcome the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

withdrawal of the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is

respectfully requested.

- 5 -

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103(a)

Claims 31-41 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over

U.S. Patent No. 5,673,259 to Quick Jr. (hereinafter Quick) in view of the cited

references of record.

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner. The pending claims

recite a transceiver configured to receive data traffic from a data buffer in a BS over

at least one wireless channel wherein "the transceiver is assigned at least one

wireless channel for receiving data traffic from the base station based on an urgency

factor indicative of the urgency of the data traffic to be transmitted from the at least

one data buffer in the base station." The Examiner has stated that this is not

taught by Quick and has failed to show how this is obvious in view of Quick.

Quick discloses a method wherein a communicating transceiver initializes a

packet service request, requests a searcher reservation on the access channel, and

sends the digital data packet over a random access channel using the specific long

code corresponding to the communicating transceiver to obtain a coded digital data

packet.

In particular, the section of Quick cited by examiner is directed at a "searcher

reservation scheme." See column 9, line 50 – column 10, line 4. According to Quick,

a searcher element "is a sliding correlator receiver that continually scans a time

domain window in search of a particular user's information signal. In a system with

- 6 -

multiple demodulation elements, a searcher element may also scan a set of time

offsets around the nominal arrival of the signal in search of multipath signals that

have developed. Typically, the controller directs the searcher to scan the received

signal from the base station antenna and correlate the received signal with a known

PN spreading sequence (or Long Code) associated with a particular mobile

transmitter." See column 9, line 50 – column 10, line 4. In Quick, the priority

scheme is based on an MS's need for a User ID. According to Quick, when an MS

wants to enter the active state, the MS transmits a request to the BS when the MS

determines that a searcher is idle. If the MS wait time for a User ID exceeds a

predetermined time, then the BS may enter a Ready State which indicates a greater

urgency for the MS to receive a User ID. However, as noted by the Examiner, Quick

fails to teach or suggest receiving data traffic from the base station based on an

urgency factor indicative of the urgency of the data traffic to be transmitted from

the at least one data buffer in the base station, as is recited by the pending claims.

Quick and the cited references of record, either alone or in combination, fail

to teach or suggest the pending claims. Accordingly, Applicants believe the pending

claims are novel and patentable over the cited art.

Claims 36-45 are dependent upon claim 35, and the Applicants believe these

claims are allowable over the cited references of record for the same reasons

provided above.

- 7 -

Applicant: Foore et al. **Application No.:** 10/767,326

Based on the arguments presented above, withdrawal of the 35 USC §103(a) rejection of claims 31-41 is respectfully requested.

Applicant: Foore et al.

Application No.: 10/767,326

Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that any additional minor formal matters need to be

addressed in order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a

telephonic interview will help to materially advance the prosecution of this

application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the

Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicants respectfully

submit that the present application is in condition for allowance and a notice to that

effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Foore et al.

By_/Aneesh A. Mehta/_

Aneesh A. Mehta

Registration No. 61,937

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.

United Plaza, Suite 1600

30 South 17th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 568-6400

Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

JCD/AAM/klw

Enclosures

- 9 -